

Quality Monitoring Report – second round of evaluation

WP 2: Upgrading of methodology 2.5. Equipment installed and set up – second round of evaluation–	
<p>Description of sources and indicators for quality monitoring</p>	<p>Description of sources for evaluation:</p> <p>1) Second, extended version of the Deliverable Report for the Purpose of Internal Evaluation of 2.5 – filled in and signed by WP 2 chair on 25.11.2021. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – list of activities carried out to achieve this deliverable; – description of the activities carried out; – indicators of progress and quality; – description of the deliverable implementing status. <p>2) DEMUSIS inventory lists of the equipment.</p> <p>3) Photographs of the equipment: http://www.demusis.ac.rs/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=47&Itemid=101 http://www.demusis.ac.rs/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=46&Itemid=101</p> <p>Indicators for quality monitoring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) equipment delivered to partner institutions. 2) equipment evidenced in inventory lists of the partner institutions. 3) equipment installed used in the teaching process.
<p>Conclusions about whether or not specific project deliverable is achieved and implemented – please, provide the following details:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overall management was provided efficiently. 2. The distribution of work was reasonable and appropriate. 3. There wasn't enough time allocated for ensuring the outcome of the deliverable – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 4. Staff resources were completely sufficient and appropriate for ensuring the outcome of the deliverable. 5. The outputs of the deliverable were done but within the extended timeline – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 6. The outcome of the deliverable corresponds completely to the expected WP results. 7. There are problems and critical factors regarding the deliverable implementation – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 8. There is no suggestion for improving the process of deliverable implementation. 9. There is no recommendation to modify the Project Management Plan considering this deliverable.



Additional conclusions and comments	<p>This QMR presents the results of the second round of evaluation of the deliverable 2.5 Equipment installed and set up. There is the second round of evaluation since the first round QMR has been completed on August 30, 2020, with the conclusion that the deliverable has been almost done to a complete degree since the purchase of the equipment lasted longer than planned.</p> <p>The outputs of the deliverable that were not yet finished by the closing of the first round of evaluation were as follow:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Procurement of the remaining hardware at P3; 2) Procurement of the remaining software at P1; 3) Installation of the remaining hardware and software at P1 and P3. <p>Within the initial DRPIE, filled and signed by WP chair on June 1, 2020, the remaining activities to achieve the complete implementation of this deliverable that were postponed to the second half of the second project year were defined as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Procurement of the remaining hardware at P3 through direct contact with suppliers – expected to be completed in October 2020. 2) Procurement of the remaining software at P1 through direct contact with suppliers – expected to be completed in October 2020. 3) Installation of purchased hardware and software at P1 and P3 – expected to be completed in December 2020. <p>According to the second, extended version of the DRPIE, filled and signed by WP chair on November 25, 2021, all the listed activities are completed up to now:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – all the remaining hardware at P3 was ordered through direct contact with suppliers. The major part of the equipment was delivered and installed in September 2021, and it is in use. However, four pieces of the equipment still need to be delivered (1) Sony A7 III body – camera, 2) Manfrotto video monopod MVMXPRO500 – tripod, 3) Mavic 2 Pro – a drone with a video camera, and 4) Manfrotto tripod MKCOMPACTADV-BK). The reason for the prolonged process is the specificity of these four pieces of equipment. – all the remaining software at P1 was purchased in March 2021, delivered, installed, and is in use. <p>Conclusion: There is no recommendation to modify the PMP considering this deliverable. However, since the major part of the hardware was installed and it is in use at P3, in cooperation with the WP chair, the responsible QAG member will follow up on the delivery and installation of the remaining four pieces of the equipment at P3 to monitor the complete and successful implementation of this deliverable. It is important to say that until now more than 100% of the initially planned equipment (both in terms of budget and in terms of pieces of equipment) have been purchased and installed. The rest of these four above mentioned pieces will only increase the percentage of already reached Project task over 100%.</p>
-------------------------------------	---



DEMUSIS


Enhancing the digital competencies and
entrepreneurship skills of academic musicians
in Serbia for culturally more engaged society



UNIVERSITY
OF ARTS
IN BELGRADE



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

responsible QAG member	Sanela Nikolić Faculty of Music, University of Arts in Belgrade	date: 27.11.2021.	signature: 
------------------------	--	----------------------	---

www.demusis.ac.rs

University of Arts in Belgrade, Kosančićev venac 29, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein