



Quality Monitoring Report

WP 7 Dissemination and exploitation WP 7.7. Public debate in Novi Sad, European Capital of Culture 2021 (2022) held	
Description of sources and indicators for quality monitoring	<p>Description of sources for evaluation:</p> <p>1) Deliverable Report for the Purpose of Internal Evaluation of 7.7 – filled in and signed by WP 7 chair on 27.11.2021. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – list of activities carried out to achieve this deliverable; – description of the activities carried out; – indicators of progress and quality; – description of the deliverable implementing status; – description of the activities to be carried out before the end of the project to achieve the complete implementation of the deliverable; – description of changes that have occurred in the realization of this deliverable since the original proposal. <p>Indicators for quality monitoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - public debate in Novi Sad, European cultural capital 2021 (2022) organized to achieve wider impact with governmental and civil society participants;
Conclusions about whether or not specific project deliverable is achieved and implemented – please, provide the following details:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overall management was provided efficiently. 2. The distribution of work was reasonable and appropriate. 3. There wasn't enough time allocated for ensuring the outcome of the deliverable – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 4. Staff resources were sufficient and appropriate for ensuring the outcome of the deliverable. 5. The outputs of the deliverable are done to a small degree (20%) – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 6. The outcome of the deliverable corresponds completely to the expected WP results – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 7. There are problems and critical factors regarding the deliverable implementation – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR. 8. There is no suggestion for improving the process of deliverable implementation. 9. There is a recommendation to modify the Project Management Plan considering this deliverable – please, see the comments in the next section on the QMR.
Additional conclusions and comments	<p>The outputs of the deliverable 7.7. Public debate in Novi Sad, European Capital of Culture 2021 (2022) held are done to a small degree (20%) due to the restrictions related to the covid-19 pandemic and the difficulties in organizing live public events. The whole "Novi Sad, European Capital of Culture" project has been postponed for the year 2022 and so</p>

**DEMUSIS**Enhancing the digital competencies and
entrepreneurship skills of academic musicians
in Serbia for culturally more engaged societyUNIVERSITY
OF ARTS
IN BELGRADECo-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

	<p>was the DEMUSIS public debate.</p> <p>The output of the deliverable that is finished: 1) Only planning of the activities has been done by now.</p> <p>The further activities are planned to be implemented in the last project year: 1) The debate is planned to be held in late September 2022 and combined with presentations of students' boot camp small-scale music projects, to maximize the project impact and visibility. The event will be organized as a hybrid event, both for reasons of the pandemic, but also to be able to attract more participants who possibly could not attend in person.</p> <p>Conclusion: It is recommendable to PMB to modify The Adjusted Work Plan of the Project Management Plan in order the date expected for completing this deliverable matches the date of completing the remaining activities. It is suggested to define October 31, 2022, as a new expected date for completing 7.7 in PMP. Correspondingly, it is recommendable to QAG and PMB to set up and approve November 30, 2022, as the date of the second round of evaluation of this deliverable within the appropriate documents of the Project Quality Assurance Plan ("Appendix 1: Quality Checklists" and the "Appendix 4: Internal Quality Monitoring Report Timetable").</p>		
responsible QAG member	<p>Sanela Nikolić Faculty of Music, University of Arts in Belgrade</p>	<p>date: 28.11.2021</p>	<p>signature: </p>